

25 MARCH 1994

Civil Engineering



**INTERAGENCY AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL
COORDINATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
PLANNING**

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally on the SAF/AAD WWW site at: <http://afpubs.hq.af.mil>. If you lack access, contact your Publishing Distribution Office (PDO).

OPR: HQ USAF/CEVP (Mr Lee Schoenecker)
Supersedes AFR 19-9, 14 February 1986.

Certified by: HQ USAF/CEV (Col Peter Walsh)
Pages: 18
Distribution: F

This instruction implements AFPD 32-70, *Environmental Quality*, by identifying requirements for Air Force Interagency and Intergovernmental Coordination for Environmental Planning (IICEP). It also implements Department of Defense (DoD) Directive 4165.61, *Intergovernmental Coordination of DoD Federal Development Programs and Activities*, August 9, 1983, with Change 1; Executive Order (EO) 12372, *Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs*; Title IV of the *Intergovernmental Coordination Act (ICA) of 1968*; and Section 204 of the *Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966*.

SUMMARY OF REVISIONS

This is the initial publication of AFI 32-7060. It aligns with AFPD 32-70. This instruction streamlines current IICEP written guidance. It identifies Federal-regional interagency and state intergovernmental roles of the Air Force Regional Compliance Offices. It also identifies intergovernmental planning coordination at local and areawide levels as the major commands (MAJCOM) provide guidance to their bases.

Chapter 1

HOW TO USE THIS INSTRUCTION

1.1. Background. The procedures in this instruction are essential to achieve compliance with all applicable Federal, state, and local directives and instructions for IICEP. The responsibilities and procedures in this instruction do not substitute or limit compliance with existing laws, executive orders, Federal regulations, DoD, and Air Force directives or instructions.

1.2. Concept. This instruction provides MAJCOMs and installations with a framework to oversee the Air Force environmental program according to AFD 32-70. MAJCOMs provide additional implementing guidance where pertinent in supplemental publication to the instruction. The MAJCOM supplement must identify responsible offices and include implementing guidance to comply with this instruction.

1.3. Statutory and Regulatory Basis and Coverage:

1.3.1. Section 401(b) of the ICA states that, "All viewpoints-national, regional, state, and local will be fully considered when planning Federal or federally assisted development programs and projects."

1.3.2. EO 12372 implements the ICA and encourages states to set up advisory review procedures. Governors designate a single point of contact (SPOC) and each state develops its own intergovernmental review procedures. DoD Directive 4165.61 implements EO 12372 within DoD.

1.3.3. Environmental Compliance, Cleanup, Pollution Prevention, Natural Resources, and Cultural and Historical Resources Federal Interagency and Intergovernmental Programs laws and regulations generally fall under the following non-DoD Federal departments and agencies: The Department of Agriculture, The Department of Commerce, The Department of the Interior, The Department of Transportation, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

1.4. Responsibilities:

1.4.1. Headquarters United States Air Force (HQ USAF):

1.4.1.1. The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Manpower, Reserve Affairs, Installations, and Environment (SAF/MI). Acts as the Air Force Secretariat point of contact for matters governed by this instruction and serves as the principle representative and advocate for IICEP compliance with the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) staff, Federal agencies, and the Congress. Promulgates and oversees Air Force IICEP for the ICA and the environmental aspects of EO 12372 and DoD Directive 4165.61. Maintains liaison on IICEP with Federal agencies, other services, and public interest organizations to explore common areas of interest and to prevent duplication of effort.

1.4.1.2. The Office of the General Counsel (SAF/GC). Provides legal advice to the Secretariat on policy concerning the environment as applied to IICEP.

1.4.1.3. The Civil Engineer (HQ USAF/CE). Formulates policy, allocates resources, and oversees execution of IICEP throughout the Air Force. Is the Air Force focal point for coordinating IICEP compliance policies and resource needs with SAF/MI. Coordinates the Air Force IICEP with other HQ USAF offices. Evaluates the performance of IICEP compliance programs throughout the Air Force and reports the results to SAF/MI and MAJCOMs. Ensures proper identification

and prioritization of IICEP compliance requirements throughout the Air Force in the planning, programming, and budgeting system (PPBS). Designates lead MAJCOM, or field operating agencies (FOA) for IICEP compliance special projects and studies. Coordinates with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology (OUSD [A&T]) and Office of Economic Adjustment (OEA) to resolve issues, as appropriate.

1.4.2. FOA Responsibilities:

1.4.2.1. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE). Carries out IICEP activities with local, state, and Federal agencies for environmental and socioeconomic impact planning activities, base closure and reuse, Base Comprehensive Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis Process, environmental compliance and permitting, cleanup, pollution prevention, natural resources, and cultural and historic resources; and provides guidance to Regional Compliance Offices (RCO). RCOs review future Federal and state environmental compliance and pollution prevention and natural resource program direction; assist MAJCOMs in environmental compliance activities; coordinate Air Force third-party site activities; and implement EO 12372 Department of Defense Federal Regional Liaison Representative roles in Standard Federal Regions I, V, VI, and VIII per DoD Directive 4165.61.

1.4.2.2. Air Force Base Conversion Agency (AFBCA). Serves as the office of primary responsibility (OPR) for IICEP base closure activities for all bases closed or legislatively programmed for closure since 1988. IICEP activities include environmental compliance and clean-up, execution of interim leases, post closure facility management, and final reuse and disposal. AFBCA will request and receive environmental support services from the RCOs, as required, for participation on Federal-regional and state committees for base closure environmental issues.

1.4.2.3. Air Force Legal Services Agency (AFLSA/JA). Supports RCOs and HQ USAF/CE by providing advice and advocacy on all aspects of the RCO mission.

1.4.3. MAJCOM Responsibilities:

1.4.3.1. All references to MAJCOMs in this instruction include the Air National Guard Readiness Center (ANGRC) and other agencies designated as "MAJCOM equivalent" by HQ USAF.

1.4.3.2. Develop supporting directives to implement this instruction. Evaluate the performance of IICEP compliance in their commands. Identify and prioritize IICEP compliance requirements in the PPBS. Request and allocate resources to execute this instruction. Ensure that bases in their commands comply with applicable Federal, state, and local IICEP requirements.

1.4.3.3. Develop and implement training programs to ensure base implementation of IICEP provisions.

Chapter 2

IICEP REQUIREMENTS FOR AIR FORCE REGIONAL COMPLIANCE OFFICES

2.1. Federal and State Program Direction. The RCOs will work with Federal-regional and state offices relative to future environmental and natural resource program direction.

2.1.1. Coordinate Air Force (i.e., MAJCOMs and installations) reviews of proposed Federal and state laws, regulations, and initiatives, and provide coordinated and consistent responses to proponent agencies.

2.1.2. Inform ANGRC of proposed Federal and state laws, regulations, and initiatives affecting Air National Guard activities.

2.1.3. Represent Air Force MAJCOMs and installations at Federal-regional and state meetings, hearings, and other appropriate events to advocate Air Force interests, and identify opportunities for Air Force participation or support for other agency programs. Coordinate with other DoD component offices as necessary. With prior concurrence, serve as the ANGRC OPR for applicable programmatic functions.

2.1.4. Distribute appropriate information to MAJCOMs on new and proposed Federal and state laws, regulations, and Federal-regional initiatives.

2.1.5. Distribute public information to SPOCs and Federal-regional agencies concerning proposed Air Force realignments. Provide feedback and comments to appropriate Air Force commands and offices.

2.1.6. Crossfeed 'lessons-learned' from enforcement actions as specified under AFI 32-7047, *Compliance Tracking and Reporting*.

2.1.7. Work with other DoD component offices which carry out environmental planning, coordination, and implementation.

2.1.8. Negotiate, monitor, and update required Department of Defense-State Memoranda of Agreement under EO 12372 as implemented by DoD Directive 4165.61.

2.1.9. Negotiate optional Air Force-State Environmental and Natural Resources memoranda of understanding (MOU) or other appropriate mechanisms as needed for the exchange of environmental information.

2.2. Regulatory Compliance. Work with MAJCOMs and Federal-regional and state regulatory agencies to resolve environmental and natural resource and pollution prevention violations.

2.2.1. Monitor compliance status with Federal-regional and state regulatory agencies and maintain a current and complete data base on enforcement actions issued against Air Force installations. Coordinate with and update MAJCOMs as required under AFI 32-7047.

2.2.2. Work with MAJCOMs to ensure understanding of compliance violations from regulatory perspective, including advice on Federal, regional, and state policies for enforcement actions at Federal facilities.

2.2.3. Advise MAJCOMs on strategies and actions needed to resolve environmental compliance violations including the possible development of procedures and written agreements to resolve and close

out enforcement actions. Represent MAJCOMs upon request to Federal-regional and state regulatory agencies.

2.2.4. Inform HQ USAF of compliance issues that are precedent setting or controversial such as those which involve the media, citizen groups, law suits, and fines.

2.2.5. Work with MAJCOMs, other Federal-regional agencies, and state governments to develop cooperative efforts to address environmental and natural resource problems and opportunities including developing Air Force-State MOUs.

2.3. Third-Party Sites. Determine Air Force involvement and financial responsibility and settle liability through intergovernmental, interagency, and private negotiation, where definite Air Force involvement is determined at a site.

2.3.1. Determine the potential for Air Force liability for proposed third-party sites through records reviews and, where appropriate, interviews at affected installations.

2.3.2. Represent the Air Force on potentially responsible party committees, providing consultation and technical reviews, as appropriate.

2.3.3. Cooperate and participate with potentially responsible parties to carry out investigations and remediation in a manner consistent with an order or agreement with EPA and state regulations or agreements.

Chapter 3

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PLANNING COORDINATION AT LOCAL AND AREAWIDE LEVELS

3.1. MAJCOM Guidance at Bases. Guidance will incorporate EO 12372 and DoD Directive 4165.61. This will include:

- 3.1.1. Ensuring the wing commander is the chief inter-governmental relations and planning officer.
- 3.1.2. Transmitting the base comprehensive plan (see AFI 32-7062, *Comprehensive Planning*, for details), particularly the capital improvements program, to pertinent local governments and areawide governmental planning bodies. For projects subsequently identified by local and areawide governmental planning bodies as having intergovernmental impacts, bases will submit DD Form 1391, **FY 19__Military Construction Program**, to these governmental planning bodies. For either comprehensive planning or DD Form 1391 transmittal, do not release financial and year-of-construction information not yet submitted to the Congress under the Military Construction (MILCON) Program. Do not transmit classified projects.
- 3.1.3. Working with local and areawide officials on proposed local government or local special purpose authority projects having potential impacts on bases.
- 3.1.4. Adjusting to varying state EO 12372 SPOC policies, programs, and types of projects subject to review and utilize local and areawide intergovernmental planning processes to meet requirements of EO 12372 and DoD Directive 4165.61.
- 3.1.5. Accommodating state process written reviews per EO 12372. If a base cannot accommodate such reviews, the MAJCOM or the base should respond in writing to the state SPOC or delegated local or areawide planning agency and provide a copy to HQ USAF.

Chapter 4

MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING

4.1. General Purpose of Memoranda of Understanding (MOU). Facilitate exchange of planning and programming information on proposed Air Force, military departments, state, and local and areawide proposed plans, programs, and projects that have potential intergovernmental impacts. Sample MOUs are in **Attachment 1** through 3.

4.1.1. Department of Defense-State MOU. Provides for the mutual exchange of planning information between the State EO 12372 SPOCs and DoD and its military departments. It implements the Department of Defense Federal Regional Liaison Representative roles pursuant to DoD Directive 4165.61.

4.1.1.1. The Air Force, through the Regional Compliance Offices, implements for Standard Federal Region I (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont); Standard Federal Region V (Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin); Standard Federal Region VI (Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas); and Standard Federal Region VIII (Colorado, North Dakota, Montana, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Utah).

4.1.1.2. The Army implements for Standard Federal Region II (New York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands); Standard Federal Region VII (Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska); and, Standard Federal Region X (Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington).

4.1.1.3. The Navy implements for Standard Federal Region III (Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia); Standard Federal Region IV (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee); and, Standard Federal Region IX (American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, Northern Mariana Islands, and Trust Territory).

4.1.2. Air Force-State Environmental and Natural Resources MOU. Allows the Air Force to work with a given state's environmental and natural resources agencies in a flexible manner to program and assess Air Force and state environmental compliance, pollution prevention, cleanup, and natural resource matters. This MOU:

4.1.2.1. Is optional to both the Air Force and the pertinent state; tailor it to meet the varying requirements of each state.

4.1.2.2. Is originated and coordinated by the AFCEE/RCOs and may involve more than one state agency in any given state.

4.1.2.3. Allows for Air Force - state written sub-agreements.

4.1.2.4. Will not replace existing EPA - State Federal Facility Agreements (FFA), but will be compatible with such FFAs where they exist.

4.1.2.5. Takes effect upon approval of the Governor indicating whether or not this MOU should become an appendix to the DoD-state MOU under DoD Directive 4165.61.

4.1.3. Air Force and Local MOU. Provides a means for the base and local governmental or pertinent areawide governmental planning bodies to develop cooperative intergovernmental planning processes. This MOU:

- 4.1.3.1. Is optional to both the Air Force and pertinent local and areawide governmental planning bodies; tailor it to meet varying local requirements.
- 4.1.3.2. Covers planning and programming matters in a given local area between an Air Force base(s) and the pertinent local government(s) or areawide governmental planning body.
- 4.1.3.3. Will be executed by the MAJCOMs and their installation(s). The involvement of two or more MAJCOMs requires all participating MAJCOMs to sign the MOU.

JAMES E. McCARTHY, Maj General, USAF
The Civil Engineer

Attachment 1

MODEL MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND THE STATE SINGLE POINT OF CONTACT (OFFICIAL TITLE OF ORGANIZATION) (CITY AND STATE) IMPLEMENTING EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372

This memorandum of understanding is between the Department of Defense (DoD) (use Air Force if Air Force installations are the only DoD installations affected) for installations listed in this attachment, represented by (the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence Regional Compliance Office, the Army responsible official, and/or the Navy responsible official) and the EO 12372 state SPOC, (Official Title of the State Single Point of Contact), (City), (State), called the (state agency title). Under this MOU the parties implement Executive Order 12372, 14 July 1982, as this executive order implements Title IV of the *Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968*, and the *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties will cooperate in the review and coordination of Federal and federally-assisted plans, programs, and projects of the Army, Navy, and Air Force that may affect state and local planning objectives; and,

WHEREAS, the parties will cooperate in the review and coordination of plans, programs, and projects of state, local, and areawide governmental agencies that may affect Army, Navy, and Air Force planning objectives; and,

WHEREAS, the parties seek compatibility and consistency for all Federal, state, local, and areawide plans, programs, and projects.

NOW THEREFORE:

THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACTING THROUGH THE ARMY, NAVY, AND AIR FORCE AGREES TO:

1. Serve as the lead DoD agency, where designated, in generally working with the state SPOC under the EO 12372 State Process and other intergovernmental planning processes.
2. Work with the state SPOC to determine which military bases should be subject to this MOU as listed in appendix A of this MOU.
3. Work with the state SPOC to determine which military department plans, programs, and projects should be subject to this MOU as listed in appendix B, part A, of this MOU.
4. Submit information on plans, programs, and projects which have been identified as subject to review under paragraphs 2 and 3 and which have potential impacts on state, local, or areawide planning objectives.
5. Enter into intergovernmental planning relationships with state, local, or areawide agencies where one or more of these agencies has identified a potential issue relative to information submitted under paragraph 4.

6. Incorporate (state EO 12372 agency or other EO 12372 delegated state, local, or areawide agency) written comments into proposed military department plans, programs, or projects. If state EO 12372 agency comments are not accepted, explain in writing (normally 60 days) under the EO 12372 State Process the reasons they were rejected.

THE (STATE AGENCY TITLE) AGREES TO:

1. Serve as the EO 12372 state SPOC for the lead DoD agency and the Army, Navy, and Air Force for the EO 12372 State Process and other intergovernmental planning processes.
2. Work with the lead DoD agency to determine which state, local, and areawide plans, programs, and projects should be included in appendix B, part B, of this MOU.
3. Submit information on plans, programs, and projects which are included in appendix B, part B, of this MOU and which have potential impacts on military base planning objectives.
4. Enter into intergovernmental planning relationships where either a military base, the lead DoD agency under this MOU, or another military organization has identified a potential issue relative to information submitted under paragraph 3.

IT IS MUTUALLY AGREED:

1. Environmental Impact Statements and other documents must be processed according to National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 requirements and Council on Environmental Quality regulations and, therefore, are not subject to the time periods indicated above. Similarly, documents promulgated in support of the installation restoration program, pursuant to section 120 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) are not subject to the time periods indicated above. In the case of both NEPA and CERCLA, the time periods are furnished by applicable law and agreements.
2. Military department construction, real property, and housing projects cannot be included unless they have been submitted to the Congress under the MILCON Program. Also, unless known to the Congress, future year financial data cannot be included with submittal.
3. Failure to provide comments within the periods indicated above implies tacit agreement.
4. Time periods are extended by agreement.
5. Review process details and forms may be developed to facilitate uniform and efficient exchange of comments.
6. Review this MOU once every 3 years, or more frequently as required, to determine the adequacy of the review process and the types of planning information added or deleted from review.
7. Nothing obligates the Army, Navy, Air Force, or the (Agency Title) to violate existing laws or regulations.
8. This agreement will remain in effect until terminated or revised in writing.

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

By _____

Title _____

Date _____

UNITED STATES ARMY

By _____

Title _____

Date _____

UNITED STATES NAVY

By _____

Title _____

Date _____

(OFFICIAL TITLE OF STATE SINGLE POINT OF CONTACT)

By _____

Title _____

Date _____

APPENDIX A

LISTING OF MILITARY BASES

1. Military Base: _____

Location: _____

Address: _____

Name of Point of Contact: _____

2. Military Base: _____

Location: _____

Address: _____

Name of Point of Contact: _____

3. Military Base: _____

Location: _____

Address: _____

Name of Point of Contact: _____

4. Military Base: _____

Location: _____

Address: _____

Name of Point of Contact: _____

APPENDIX B

LISTING OF PLANS, PROGRAMS, AND PROJECTS SUBJECT TO THIS MOU

PART A: MILITARY DEPARTMENT PLANS, PROGRAMS, AND PROJECTS SUBJECT TO THIS MOU

Examples of Plans, Programs, and Projects That Might Be Subject to This MOU: Installation comprehensive or master plans; MILCON, real property, and housing plans submitted to the Congress under the MILCON program; environmental compliance and cleanup plans and programs; natural resource programs including those for floodplains management and wetlands protection; Air Installation Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) studies; and, National Environmental Policy Act notices of intent, findings of no significant impact, and draft and final environmental impact statements (EIS).

Listing of Actual Plans, Programs, and Projects Subject to This MOU:

PART B: STATE, LOCAL, AND AREAWIDE PLANS, PROGRAMS, AND PROJECTS SUBJECT TO THIS MOU

Examples of Plans, Programs, and Projects That Might Be Subject To This MOU: State environmental, natural resource, transportation, community development, and housing plans and programs; local government comprehensive plans; local government community development plans, programs, and projects for transportation, housing, and economic development; local government environmental and natural and cultural resource plans, programs, and projects; and, areawide governmental agency comprehensive plans, programs, and projects for transportation and environment (solid waste disposal, water and waste management, and air quality).

Listing of Actual Plans, Programs, and Projects Subject to This MOU:

Attachment 2

MODEL MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL OR NATURAL RESOURCE AGENCY AND THE AIR FORCE REGIONAL COMPLIANCE OFFICE

This memorandum of understanding between the State of _____ environmental and natural resource agency(s) and the Air Force Regional Compliance Office provides for a process to periodically exchange and review information of mutual interest regarding environmental and natural resource laws, regulations, and administrative practices. It also allows the parties to develop flexible, written subagreements to deal with environmental and natural resource considerations in the State of _____.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties want periodic and systematic exchange and review of environmental and natural resource laws, regulations, programs, and administrative practices at state and Federal levels including those of the Air Force and

WHEREAS, the parties may be interested in subagreements concerning specific environmental or natural resource plans, programs, or projects affecting the State of _____ and the Air Force.

NOW THEREFORE:

THE PARTIES HERETO AGREE TO:

1. Meet at least annually to exchange and review information on proposed or possible Federal, DoD, Air Force, state, and local changes in environmental and natural resource laws, regulations, and administrative practices.
2. Enter into written subagreements, if mutually agreeable, to address specific environmental or natural resource plans, programs, projects, or issues in the State of _____.

THE PROCESS BY WHICH THIS MOU MAY BE ENTERED INTO INCLUDES:

1. All MOUs and written subagreements are options to both the state of _____ and the Air Force.
2. The AFCEE Regional Compliance Office director will sign the MOU and the involved state _____ of department or agency head (s) will sign this MOU.
3. Prior to this MOU becoming effective, the Governor of the state _____ of will transmit a letter to the Air Force Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety and Occupational Health indicating concurrence, and whether or not this environmental/natural resource MOU should become an attachment to the DoD-State MOU under **Attachment 1**, paragraph **4.1**. of this instruction.

4. This MOU is subject to review and renewal once every 3 years. Signatory renewal will be optional to both the state _____ of _____ and the Air Force. Also, if renewed it is again subject to a Governor's letter of concurrence per paragraph 3.

THEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING AIR FORCE-STATE OF _____ ENVIRONMENTAL/NATURAL RESOURCES MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING IS ENTERED INTO UPON SIGNATURES OF THE FOLLOWING PARTIES AND UPON RECEIPT OF THE GOVERNORS CONCURRENCE LETTER BY THE AIR FORCE DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY, AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH:

UNITED STATE AIR FORCE:

By (pertinent Air Force Regional Compliance Office)

Title (pertinent Regional Compliance Office Director)

Date _____

STATE GOVERNMENT OF _____

By (pertinent state of _____ Environmental or Natural resource agency)

Title (pertinent state of _____ Department or Agency Head)

Date _____

Attachment 3

MODEL MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE AIR FORCE AND THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT(S) OR EO 12372 DESIGNATED AREAWIDE PLANNING AGENCY

This memorandum of understanding between (_____) Air Force Base and the local government(s) (_____), or the state-designated EO 12372 areawide planning body (city and state location), encourages local intergovernmental planning relationships between (_____) Air Force Base and local jurisdiction(s), or EO 12372 areawide planning body.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties are interested in the timely exchange of information regarding planning for the physical environment of (_____) Air Force Base with potentially significant off-base impacts; and,

WHEREAS, the parties are interested in the timely exchange of planning information for off-base planning of the physical environment with potentially significant impacts on (_____) Air Force Base; and,

WHEREAS, the parties have developed a flexible intergovernmental planning process; and,

WHEREAS, the parties hereto mutually and freely enter into this agreement.

NOW THEREFORE:

THE AIR FORCE BASE AGREES TO PROVIDE THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT(S) OR THE EO 12372 STATE-DESIGNATED AREAWIDE GOVERNMENTAL PLANNING BODY WITH PLANNING INFORMATION ON A TIMELY BASIS. EXAMPLES OF THE TYPE OF PLANNING INFORMATION WHICH THE AIR FORCE MIGHT INCLUDE FOLLOW.

1. Pertinent sections of the Base Comprehensive Plan including the capital improvement program.
2. MILCON projects already submitted to the Congress with potentially significant off-base impacts.
3. Family housing construction and rehabilitation projects already submitted to the Congress.
4. Property acquisition and disposal plans already submitted to the Congress.
5. Withdrawal of public domain land now in military use.
6. AICUZ studies.
7. Cultural and natural resource plans.
8. Plans not subject to withholding under the Freedom of Information Act, including its force structure, which make important changes in the use of the military base.
9. Other (_____) Air Force Base plans not subject to withholding under the Freedom of Information Act.

FURTHER THEREFORE:

THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT(S), OR THE EO 12372 STATE-DESIGNATED AREAWIDE GOVERNMENTAL PLANNING BODY, WILL PROVIDE (_____) AIR FORCE BASE WITH TIMELY OFF-BASE PLANNING INFORMATION FOR POSSIBLE LOCAL PLANS, PROGRAMS, AND PROJECTS HAVING A POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON (_____) AIR FORCE BASE. EXAMPLES OF THE TYPES OF PLANNING INFORMATION WHICH THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT(S) OR EO 12372- STATE-DESIGNATED AREAWIDE PLANNING BODY MIGHT INCLUDE FOLLOW.

1. Local Comprehensive Plans including plans for neighborhoods when near or adjacent to (_____) Air Force Base, and pertinent local or state draft environmental impact studies.
2. Transportation proposals.
3. Community physical development proposals (commercial, residential, industrial, etc.).
4. Local or areawide waste treatment proposals.
5. Local or areawide solid waste and landfill proposals.
6. Wetlands protection and floodplain management plans.
7. Other programs and projects affecting the biophysical environment including those for hazardous wastes.
8. Energy facility siting.
9. Cultural and historic preservation.
10. Other local and areawide proposals having a potentially significant impact on (_____) Air Force Base.

FINALLY, (_____) AIR FORCE BASE AND THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT(S) OR THE EO 12372 AREAWIDE PLANNING BODY PARTY TO THIS AGREEMENT SHALL MAINTAIN A CONTINUING ONGOING PROCESS. THIS WOULD INCLUDE:

1. The wing commander or vice wing commander, no less than once every 2 years, will meet with the local elected chief official(s) of the local government(s) or chairperson of the EO 12372 areawide governmental planning body party to this agreement to exchange information and ideas on planning proposals of the Air Force which potentially impact the local government (s); and, proposals of the local government jurisdiction(s) which potentially impact (_____) Air Force Base.
2. Air Force Base planning, environmental, and engineering personnel, on at least an annual basis, will meet with their local government(s) or areawide planning body counterparts to discuss Air Force, local government, or areawide planning initiatives that either have a potential impact on the local government(s), or (_____) the Air Force base, or both.
3. Air Force Base planning or environmental personnel will meet with their counterparts in local government (s) or the EO 12372 areawide planning body as the need arises concerning the detailed planning of either a proposed (_____) Air Force Base project that will impact local government(s), or of a proposed local project that will impact (_____) Air Force Base.

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

By (pertinent MAJCOM)

Title (MAJCOM Civil Engineer)

Date _____

By (pertinent Air Force Base)

Title (Air Force Base Wing Commander)

Date _____

By (local government(s), or EO 12372 areawide planning body)

Title (local government chief elected official, areawide planning body chairperson)

Date _____